



Intervention on behalf of the Women's Major Group

By Eleanor Blomstrom, Women's Environment and Development Organization

May Intergovernmental Negotiations on Post-2015 Follow-up and Review

The post-2015 agenda has incredible potential to turn aspiration to reality, and to end structural inequalities. We talk about a transformative agenda that leaves no one behind. This also demands accountability for those already far ahead. We must match the goals and targets with a suitably robust, transparent and inclusive monitoring, accountability and review mechanism.

The architecture will be multi-level and integrated. National-level can support dialogue between people and their government to address gaps and develop relevant programming. Regional reviews can provide space for shared learning. Global reviews at the HLPF can provide a sense of overall progress and should include a system for universal peer review.

The follow-up and review mechanism should be based on key principles at all levels.

Universality - not conditionality – will benefit States and people who live within their borders. Universality provides an entry point for monitoring and accountability of domestic implementation by countries at every income and development level.

The framework must also be

- Grounded in **common but differentiated responsibilities**, with **regular reviews** that are results-oriented and **fulfilling gender equality, environmental and human rights obligations**
- **Driven by** ethically collected **qualitative and quantitative data**, disaggregated by age, sex, gender, ability, geography, income level, race and ethnicity
- And it must promote **accountability** at all levels: of **governments** to people; and of **non-state actors**, including the private sector, international financial institutions and UN agencies, to their development commitments and their impacts
- Open, democratic, transparent, and **participatory**

At the global level and in the HLPF, modalities should ensure civil society participation through major groups and other organized constituencies that have clear mechanisms for accountability and transparency. Broad references to “stakeholders” can conflate private sector and other non-governmental actors with rights holders and civil society constituencies.

Finally, this universal agenda implicates all of us - and as the WMG we are happy to it already mentioned, but we remind you that we must **all** continue to be involved in the process as these negotiations hit a critical phase during June and July.

Thank you.